AI Compliance Checklist: Texas TRAIGA + NIST AI RMF

10 yes/no checks for one software company that develops or deploys AI systems used in Texas. Every "no" is a gap; the last column shows which document in the full US AI Governance Policy Template closes it.

Company	
Completed by and date	

#	Check	Provision it supports	Yes / No	Template document
1	**Inventory.** Do we keep a current register of every AI system we develop or deploy?	TRAIGA applicability, § 551.002		1
2	**Intended purpose.** Is each system's intended purpose recorded and approved?	Intent-based prohibitions, §§ 552.052–552.057		1, 2
3	**Prohibited-use screening.** Has each system been screened against TRAIGA §§ 552.052–552.057?	§§ 552.052–552.057		2
4	**Discrimination review.** Is intended purpose and testing documented to show no intent to unlawfully discriminate?	§ 552.056		2, 3
5	**Adversarial testing.** Do we run adversarial or red-team testing before major releases?	§ 552.105(e)(2)(B)		3, 7
6	**Internal review.** Do we have an internal review process aligned with NIST AI 600-1?	§ 552.105(e)(2)(D)		7
7	**Profiling assessment.** Where we profile people, have we completed a TDPSA data protection assessment?	Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 541.105(a)(3)		3
8	**Biometrics.** Where we capture biometric identifiers, do we meet the Capture or Use of Biometric Identifier Act requirements?	Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 503.001		1, 3
9	**Cure owner.** Is a named person responsible for responding to an Attorney General notice within the 60-day cure period?	§ 552.104		6
10	**Vendor terms.** Have we reviewed third-party AI vendor terms for testing, data use and incident notice?	Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 541.104(a)(2) (processors)		5

Score (yes answers)	What to do next
8–10	Refine and evidence: make sure each "yes" has a dated record and an owner.
4–7	Close the gaps this quarter, starting with the lowest-numbered "no".
0–3	Start with the AI system register and prohibited-use screening (template Documents 1 and 2).

Why it matters. TRAIGA (Tex. Bus. & Com. Code chs. 551–554, effective January 1, 2026) is enforced by the Attorney General, except for limited state-agency sanctions (§ 552.101(a), § 552.106), with a 60-day cure period after written notice (§ 552.104). A company is not liable if it discovers a violation through feedback, testing, applicable state agency guidelines, or substantial compliance with "the most recent version" of NIST's Generative AI Profile plus an internal review process (§ 552.105(e)(2)). The records behind these 10 checks are that evidence.

Get the full template (free). System register, prohibited-use screening, risk and profiling assessments, human-review log, vendor checklist, 60-day cure playbook and the TRAIGA–NIST crosswalk, in one fill-in pack.
expertaiprompts.com/us-ai-governance-template#download

Governance checklist only. Not legal advice; have counsel review your answers against your products and contracts. Aligned with the NIST AI RMF (AI 100-1) and NIST AI 600-1.